

Appl. No. 09/050,651  
Amdt. dated October 25, 2004  
Reply to Office action of July 23, 2004

Remarks/Arguments:

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1-10 remain in the application. Claims 1-3 have been amended.

In the sixth paragraph on page 2 of the Office action, the Examiner has incorporated the following rejection by reference.

In item 2 on page 2 of the Office action dated January 23, 2004, claims 1 and 4-10 have been rejected as being fully anticipated by Deinlein et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,374,083) (hereinafter "Deinlein") under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

The rejection has been noted and the claims have been amended in an effort to even more clearly define the invention of the instant application. The claims are patentable for the reasons set forth below. Support for the changes is found on page 11, lines 8-23 of the specification.

Before discussing the prior art in detail, it is believed that a brief review of the invention as claimed, would be helpful.

Applic. No. 09/050,651  
Amdt. dated October 25, 2004  
Reply to Office action of July 23, 2004

Claim 1 calls for, *inter alia*:

a coolant conducting measurement line branching off from said pressure line downstream of the at least one high-pressure pump;

The Deinlein reference discloses that the determination of the hydrogen concentration is carried out in the pressure line connected downstream of the high-pressure pumps.

The reference does not show a coolant conducting measurement line branching off from the pressure line downstream of the at least one high-pressure pump, as recited in claim 1 of the instant application.

The measurement line according to the instant application is a coolant line via which a small portion of coolant guided in the pressure line is branched off. The measuring device is incorporated into this measurement line, which is a coolant line; the measuring device measures the hydrogen concentration.

In the present invention the determination of the actual hydrogen concentration does not take place in the pressure line for the primary coolant and thus the entire amount of

Applic. No. 09/050,651  
Amdt. dated October 25, 2004  
Reply to Office action of July 23, 2004

coolant, which flows in the pressure line is not evaluated.

In the present invention only a small amount of the primary coolant is used as the basis for determining the actual value of the hydrogen concentration. The amount can be kept small so that after evaluation of the actual hydrogen concentration, a feed back into the coolant line is no longer necessary.

In summary, the coolant conducting measuring line of the instant application is a fluid line via which a portion of the primary coolant, which is provided with hydrogen, can be taken out of the pressure line and fed to the measuring device.

Contrary thereto, the Deinlein reference discloses a line (78) that is not a fluid line, but instead, an electrical line via which measuring signals can be passed on. Therefore the line (78) disclosed in Deinlein does not anticipate the coolant conducting measuring line as recited in the claims of the instant application.

Since claim 1 is believed to be allowable, dependent claims 4-10 are believed to be allowable as well.

It is again appreciatively noted that claims 2 and 3 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The claims have not been amended as indicated by the Examiner,

Applic. No. 09/050,651  
Amdt. dated October 25, 2004  
Reply to Office action of July 23, 2004

as the claims are believed to be patentable in their existing form.

It is accordingly believed to be clear that none of the references, whether taken alone or in any combination, either show or suggest the features of claim 1. Claim 1 is, therefore, believed to be patentable over the art and since all of the dependent claims are ultimately dependent on claim 1, they are believed to be patentable as well.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-10 are solicited.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable, counsel respectfully requests a telephone call so that, if possible, patentable language can be worked out.

If an extension of time for this paper is required, petition for extension is herewith made.

10-25-'04 16:09 FROM-Lerner & Greenberg

+9549251101

T-117 P09/09 U-889

Applic. No. 09/050,651  
Amdt. dated October 25, 2004  
Reply to Office action of July 23, 2004

Please charge any other fees which might be due with respect  
to Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner &  
Greenberg P.A., No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,



For Applicant(s)

Alfred K. Dassler  
52,794

AKD:cgm

October 25, 2004

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.  
Post Office Box 2480  
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480  
Tel: (954) 925-1100  
Fax: (954) 925-1101